





101 Central Plaza South 700 Chase Tower Canton, Ohio 44702-1509 (330) 438-0617 or (800) 443-9272 EastRegion@ohioauditor.gov

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Jefferson County Port Authority Jefferson County 500 Market Street, Floor 1, Suite 3 Steubenville, Ohio 43952

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Board of Trustees and the management of the Jefferson County Port Authority (the Port Authority), on the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the Port Authority's cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances, included in the information provided to us by the management of the Port Authority. The Port Authority is responsible for the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances included in the information provided to us by the Port Authority. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash

- 1. We recalculated the December 31, 2019 and December 31, 2018 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We agreed the January 1, 2018 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2017 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2019 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Ledger Report to the December 31, 2018 balances in the Fund Ledger Report. We found no exceptions.
- 3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2019 and 2018 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Report and the financial statements filed by the Port Authority in the Hinkle System. The amounts agreed.
- 4. We confirmed the December 31, 2019 bank account balances with the Port Authority's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2019 bank reconciliation without exception.

Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

- 1. We selected all receipts from the County Auditor's Detail Vendor Expenditure Report from 2019 and all receipts from 2018.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above report to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We inspected the Receipt Register Report to determine that these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We inspected the Receipt Register Report to determine whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We confirmed the individual amounts paid from the City of Steubenville to the Port Authority during 2019 and 2018 with the City. We confirmed amounts paid for sale of land in 2019 with the executed purchase agreement. We found no exceptions.
 - a. We inspected the Receipt Register Report to determine whether these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We inspected the Receipt Register Report to determine whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Over-The-Counter Cash Receipts

We selected 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2018 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Agreed the receipt amount to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
- b. Inspected the Receipt Register Report to determine the receipt was posted to the proper fund, and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions

Debt

- 1. The prior audit documentation disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2017.
- We inquired of management, and inspected the Receipt Register Report and Payment Register
 Detail Report for evidence of debt issued during 2019 or 2018 or debt payment activity during 2019
 or 2018. There were no new debt issuances, nor any debt payment activity during 2019 or 2018.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We selected one payroll check for all employees from 2019 and one payroll check for all employees from 2018 from the Wage Detail Report and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Wage Detail Report to supporting documentation (timecard or legislatively approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We inspected the fund and account code to which the check was posted to determine the posting was reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the minute record. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We inspected the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2019 to confirm remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2019. We observed the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare	January 31, 2020	December 30, 2019	\$320	\$320
State income taxes	January 15, 2020	December 31, 2019	74	74
Local income tax	January 15, 2020	December 31, 2019	62	62
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2020	December 31, 2019	738	738

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We selected 10 disbursements from the Payment Export Report for the year ended December 31, 2019 and 10 from the year ended 2018 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found the Port Authority process all payments electronically in the form of electronic checks and automated clearing house (ACH) payments. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Export report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices for eight electronic check payments in 2018 and five electronic check payments in 2019. The date, payee name and amount recorded on the bank statement agreed to the date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Export and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices for two ACH payments in 2018 and five ACH payments in 2019. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.

Compliance - Budgetary

- Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.28(B)(2) and 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total approved appropriations (Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38 and 5705.40) plus any carryover appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 for the General, Industrial Park and Abandoned Gas Station Clear Up fund. We observed no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
- 2. We inspected the Cash Summary by Fund Report for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 for negative cash fund balance. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.10 (I) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. There were no funds having negative cash fund balances.

Sunshine Law Compliance

We obtained and inspected the Port Authority's Public Records Policy to determine the policy did
not limit the number of responses that may be made to a particular person, limit the number of
responses during a specified period of time, or establish a fixed period of time before it will respond
unless that period is less than eight hours. We found no exceptions.

- 2. We inquired with the Port Authority management and determined that the Port Authority did not have any completed public records requests during the engagement period.
- 3. We inquired with the Port Authority management and determined that the Port did not have any denied public records requests during the engagement period.
- 4. We inquired with the Port Authority management and determined that the Port did not have any public records requests with redactions during the engagement period.
- 5. We inquired whether the Port Authority has a records retention policy, and inquired that it is readily available to the public. We found no exceptions.
- 6. We inspected written evidence that the Public Records Policy was provided to the records custodian/manager. We found no exceptions.
- We inspected the Port Authority's policy manual and determined the public records policy was included. We found the Port Authority does not have a policy manual therefore the public records policy could not be included.
- 8. We inquired that the Port Authority's poster describing their Public Records Policy was displayed conspicuously in all branches of the Port Authority. We found no exceptions.
- 9. We inquired with the Port Authority's management and determined that the Port Authority did not have any applications for record disposal submitted to the Records Commission during the engagement period.
- 10. We inquired with Port Authority management and determined that the Port Authority did not have any elected officials subject to the Public Records Training requirements during the engagement period.
- 11. We inspected the public notices for the public meetings held during the engagement period and determined the Port Authority notified the general public and news media of when and where meetings during the engagement period are to be held. We found no exceptions.
- 12. We inspected the minutes of public meetings during the engagement period and determined whether they were:
 - a. Prepared a file is created following the date of the meeting
 - b. Filed placed with similar documents in an organized manner
 - c. Maintained retained, at a minimum, for the engagement period
 - d. Open to public inspection available for public viewing or request.

We found no exceptions.

- 13. We inspected the minutes from the engagement period and determined the following:
 - a. Executive sessions were only held at regular or special meetings.
 - b. The purpose for the meetings and going into an executive session (when applicable) correlated with one of the matters listed in Ohio Rev. Code Section 121.22(G).
 - c. Formal governing board actions were adopted in open meetings.

We found no exceptions.

Other Compliance

1. Ohio Rev. Code Section 117.38 requires port authorities to file their financial information in the HINKLE system within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. This statute also permits the Auditor of State to extend the deadline for filing a financial report and establish terms and conditions for any such extension. Auditor of State established policies, regarding the filing of complete financial statements, as defined in AOS Bulletin 2015-007 in the Hinkle System. We confirmed the Authority filed their complete financial statements, as defined by AOS Bulletin 2015-007 and Auditor of State established policy within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 in the Hinkle system. There were no exceptions.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the Port Authority's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is to provide assistance in the evaluation of the Port Authority's receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances and is not suitable for any other purpose.

Keith Faber Auditor of State

Columbus, Ohio

June 3, 2020





JEFFERSON COUNTY PORT AUTHORITY

JEFFERSON COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED JUNE 18, 2020