



**Mary Taylor, CPA**  
Auditor of State



**CAMDEN TOWNSHIP  
LORAIN COUNTY**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed Upon Procedures .....	1

**This page intentionally left blank.**



# Mary Taylor, CPA

## Auditor of State

### INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Camden Township  
Lorain County  
42 Court Street  
Kipton, Ohio 44049

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of Camden Township (the Township), solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

#### Cash and Investments

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2008 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Status Report to the December 31, 2007 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2009 and 2008 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Reports. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2009 bank account balances with the Township's financial institutions. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2009 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five outstanding checks haphazardly from the December 31, 2009 bank reconciliation:
  - a. We traced each check to the debit appearing in the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We traced the amounts and date written to the check register, to determine the checks were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.
6. We tested investments held at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 to determine that they:
  - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.

- b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

**Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts**

1. We selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2009 and one from 2008:
  - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We determined whether the receipt was allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We determined whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
  
2. We scanned the Receipt Register Report to determine whether it included the proper number of tax receipts for 2009 and 2008:
  - a. One or two personal property tax receipts
  - b. Two real estate tax receipts
  - c. Two manufactured homes tax receipts

We noted the Receipts Register Report did not include the proper number of tax settlement receipts for each year. We noted the following intergovernmental revenue receipts were posted to the proper funds, but improperly posted to the Receipt Register Report as property tax revenue:

2009					
	Funds				
<i>Description</i>	General Fund - 1000	Road and Bridge - 2031	Road Improv. - 2191	Fire / EMS 1 - 2192	Fire / EMS 2 - 2193
Intangible Tax	\$ 36	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Personal Property Reimbursement	58	42	73	29	48
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 94</b>	<b>\$ 42</b>	<b>\$ 73</b>	<b>\$ 29</b>	<b>\$ 48</b>

2008					
	Funds				
<i>Description</i>	General Fund - 1000	Road and Bridge - 2031	Road Improv. - 2191	Fire / EMS 1 - 2192	Fire / EMS 2 - 2193
Public Utility Reimbursement	\$ 131	\$ 103	\$ 161	\$ 65	\$ -
Personal Property Reimbursement	42	27	53	21	35
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 173</b>	<b>\$ 130</b>	<b>\$ 214</b>	<b>\$ 86</b>	<b>\$ 35</b>

We recommend the Township properly post the above receipts as intergovernmental revenue in the Uniform Account Network.

3. We selected five receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2009 and five from 2008.
  - a. We compared the amount from the DTL to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.

- b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
4. We confirmed the amounts paid from Ohio Public Works Commission to the Township during 2009. We found no exceptions.
  - a. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

#### **Debt**

1. We inquired of management, and scanned the Receipt Register Report and Payment Register Detail Report for evidence of bonded or note debt issued during 2009 or 2008 or outstanding as of December 31, 2009 or 2008. All debt noted agreed to the summary we used in step 2.
2. We obtained a summary of note debt activity for 2009 and 2008 and agreed principal and interest payments from the related debt amortization schedules to the General Fund and the Gasoline Tax Fund payments reported in the Payment Register Detail Report. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Township made the payments. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the amount of debt proceeds from the debt documents to amounts recorded in the Capital Project fund per the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
4. For new debt issued during 2009, we inspected the OPWC Loan Agreement, noting the Township must use the proceeds to resurface a road. We scanned the contract files, and noted that OPWC submitted disbursements to Kokosing Construction in the amount of the loan issued to the Township for road resurfacing work performed.

#### **Payroll Cash Disbursements**

1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2009 and one payroll check for five employees from 2008 from the Employee Detail Adjustment Report and determined whether the following information in the employees' personnel files and minute records was consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:
  - a. Name
  - b. Authorized salary or pay rate
  - c. Departments and funds to which the check should be charged.
  - d. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding.
  - e. Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding.
  - f. Any other deduction authorizations (deferred compensation, etc.)

We found no exceptions related to steps a. – f. above.

2. We tested the checks we selected in step 1, as follows:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary amount used in computing gross pay to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
  - b. We determined whether the fund and account codes to which the check was posted was reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the minute records and

Employee Roster Report. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.

3. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2009 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and that the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld during the final withholding period during 2009. We noted the following:

Withholding	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Withheld	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes	January 31, 2010	December 30, 2009	\$1,015	\$1,015
State income taxes	January 15, 2010	December 30, 2009	502	502
OPERS retirement (withholding plus employee share)	January 30, 2010	December 30, 2009	2,696	2,696

Remittances of tax and retirement withholdings were withheld and agreed to timely payments. No exceptions noted.

4. For the pay periods ended June 30, 2009 and March 31, 2008, we compared documentation and the recomputation supporting the allocation of Trustee salaries to the General Fund. We found no exceptions.

#### Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2009 and ten from the year ended 2008 and determined whether:

- a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
- b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
- c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
- d. We found nine instances where the certification date was after the vendor invoice date. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D) requires certifying at the time of a commitment, which should precede the invoice date. We recommend the Township properly encumber all required expenditures in accordance with Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D).

#### Compliance – Budgetary

1. We compared the total from the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report for the General, Road & Bridge, and Fire Levy funds for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008. The amounts on the *Certificate* agreed to the amount recorded in the accounting system, except for the General Fund. The Revenue Status Report recorded budgeted (i.e. certified) resources for the General fund of \$158,314 for 2008. However, the final *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* reflected \$156,314. The Fiscal Officer should periodically compare amounts recorded in the Revenue Status Report to amounts recorded on the *Amended Official Certificate of Estimated Resources* to assure they agree. If the amounts do not agree, the Trustees may be using inaccurate information for budgeting and monitoring purposes.



2. We scanned the appropriation measures adopted for 2009 and 2008 to determine whether, for the General, Road & Bridge, and Fire Levy funds, the Trustees appropriated separately for "each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services," as is required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38(C). The Township adopted a permanent appropriation measure at the fund level of control for both fiscal year 2009 and 2008. The Township should adopt an appropriation measure at a higher level, which at a minimum, meets the classifications required by this code section.
  
3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2009 and 2008 for the following funds: General, Road & Bridge, and Fire Levy. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status report for the Road and Bridge Fund, however, we noted the following exceptions for the General Fund and the Fire Levy Fund for the years ended December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

2009				
Fund No.	Fund Name	Final Appropriation	Appropriations per UAN Appropriation Status Report	Variance
2192	Fire Levy	\$ 48,852	\$ 45,204	(\$ 3,648)

2008				
Fund	Fund Name	Final Appropriation	Appropriations per UAN Appropriation Status Report	Variance
1000	General Fund	\$ 306,010	\$ 285,035	(\$ 20,975)

The Fiscal Officer should periodically compare amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report to amounts approved by the Trustees to assure they agree. If the amounts do not agree, the Trustees may be using inaccurate information for budgeting and monitoring purposes.

4. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General, Road and Bridge, and the Fire Levy funds for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008. We noted no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.
  
5. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 for the General, Road & Bridge, and Fire Levy fund, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
  
6. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We scanned the Receipt Register Report for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2009 and 2008. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Township received new restricted receipts. We noted no evidence of new restricted receipts for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 would require the Township to establish a new fund.

7. We scanned the 2009 and 2008 Revenue Status Reports and Appropriation Status Reports for evidence of interfund transfers exceeding \$1,000 which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.14 -- .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
8. We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriation Status Reports to determine whether the Township elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.13. We noted the Township did not establish these reserves.

#### **Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures**

1. We inquired of management and scanned the Payment Register Detail report for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 for procurements requiring competitive bidding under the following statutes:
  - a. Materials, machinery and tools used in constructing, maintaining and repairing roads and culverts, where costs exceeded \$25,000. (Ohio Rev. Code Section 5549.21)
  - b. Construction and erection of a memorial building or monument costs exceeding \$25,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Section 511.12)
  - c. Equipment for fire protection and communication costs exceeding \$50,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Sections 505.37 to 505.42)
  - d. Street lighting systems or improvement costs exceeding \$25,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Section 515.07)
  - e. Building modification costs exceeding \$25,000 to achieve energy savings (Ohio Rev. Code Section 505.264)
  - f. Private sewage collection tile costs exceeding \$25,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Sections 521.02 to 521.05)
  - g. Fire apparatus, mechanical resuscitators, other fire equipment, appliances, materials, fire hydrants, buildings, or fire-alarm communications equipment or service costs exceeding \$50,000 (Ohio Rev. Code Section 505.37(A))

We identified the following projects and purchases subject to the aforementioned Ohio Rev. Code Sections:

- 2008 Road Program Project
- 2009 Road Program Project
- 2009 OPWC Rowell Road Project, and
- 2009 Dump/Plow Truck

For these projects and purchases, we noted that the Board advertised the purchases in a local newspaper, and selected the lowest responsible bidder for each. We also noted the following for each, if applicable:

- Plans and specifications were maintained;
- Contract was issued in accordance with the established terms;
- A search of the Auditor of State Findings for Recovery database was performed;
- Contracts was approved by the Board; and
- At least two Trustees and the Fiscal Officer approved warrant(s) issued.

We found no exceptions.

2. We inquired of management and scanned the Payment Register Detail Report for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 to determine if the Township had road construction projects exceeding \$45,000 for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5575.01 requires the county engineer to

complete a force account project assessment form (i.e., cost estimate). We identified no projects requiring the county engineer to complete a force account cost estimate.

3. For the road maintenance project described in step 1 above, we read the contract and noted that it required the contractor to pay prevailing wages to their employees as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 4115.04 and 4115.05. The contract included the Ohio Department of Commerce's schedule of prevailing rates.

**Officials' Response** – Camden Township accepts the report. We plan to work to correct the receipt posting errors. The Fiscal Officer does watch the Appropriation Status and Revenue Status closely. The full amount on the Certificate is sometimes not in UAN due to changes in spending plans, but we will be placing the full amount there or adjust the certificate accordingly. We are working on properly encumbering expenditures prior to purchasing commitment.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Township's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and those charged with governance and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



**Mary Taylor, CPA**  
Auditor of State

June 28, 2010





Mary Taylor, CPA  
Auditor of State

CAMDEN TOWNSHIP

LORAIN COUNTY

**CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

*Susan Babbitt*

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED  
JULY 27, 2010