





Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Gnadenhutten Public Library Tuscarawas County 106 North Walnut Street P.O. Box 216 Gnadenhutten, Ohio 44629

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of Gnadenhutten Public Library, Tuscarawas County, Ohio, (the Library) and the Auditor of State agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' Government Auditing Standards. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash

- 1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We agreed the January 1, 2008 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Status Report to the December 31, 2007 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions.
- 3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2009 and 2008 fund cash balances reported in the Cash Journal. The amounts agreed.
- 4. We confirmed the December 31, 2009 bank account balances with the Library's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2009 bank reconciliation without exception.
- 5. We selected five outstanding checks haphazardly from the December 31, 2009 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each check to the debit appearing in the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and date written to the check register, to determine the checks were dated prior to December 31. We noted no exceptions.

Gnadenhutten Public Library Tuscarawas County Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures Page 2

State Library and Local Government Support Receipts

We selected all State Library and Local Government Support (LLGS) receipts from the Tuscarawas County Auditor Cross Reference Report (by Vendor Number) from 2009 and 2008.

- a. We compared the amount from the Tuscarawas County Auditor Cross Reference Report (by Vendor Number) to the amount recorded in the Revenue Ledger. The amounts agreed.
- b. We determined whether these receipts were posted to the General Fund. We found no exceptions.
- c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- d. We scanned the Revenue Ledger to determine whether it included one LLGS receipt per month for 2009 and 2008. We found no exceptions.

Donations (2009 only)

We haphazardly selected 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2009 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
- b. Receipt was posted to the proper fund, and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

We inquired of management, and scanned the Receipt Register Report and Payment Register Detail Report for evidence of bonded or note debt issued during 2009 and 2008 or outstanding as of December 31, 2009 and 2008. We noted no new debt issuances, nor any debt payment activity during 2009 and 2008.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2009 and one payroll check for five employees from 2008 from the Employee Detail Adjustment Report and determined whether the following information in the minute records was consistent with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:
 - a. Name
 - b. Authorized salary or pay rate
 - c. Department and fund to which the check should be charged.
 - d. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding.
 - e. Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding.
 - f. Any other deduction authorizations (deferred compensation, etc.)

We found no exceptions related to steps a. – f. above.

- 2. We tested the checks we selected in step 1, as follows:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary amount used in computing gross pay to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the fund and account code to which the check was posted was reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel file. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)

3. We scanned the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2009 to determine whether remittances were timely paid, and that the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld during the final withholding period during 2009. We noted the following:

			Amount	
Withholding	Date Due	Date Paid	Withheld	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes	January 31, 2010	12/31/09	\$537.15	\$537.15
State income taxes	January 15, 2010	12/31/09	268.32	268.32
Local income tax OPERS retirement	January 31, 2010	12/31/09	235.97	235.97
(withholding plus employee share)	January 31, 2010	12/31/09	1,292.45	1,292.45

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2009 and ten from the year ended 2008 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.

Compliance – Budgetary

- 1. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2009 and 2008 for the following funds: General Fund and Special Revenue Fund. The amounts on the 2009 Annual Appropriation Measure, passed March 5, 2009, agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status report for the General Fund. However, 2009 Special Revenue appropriations totaling \$1,255 were not included within the Annual Appropriation Measure.
- 2. Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02 prohibits spending in excess of budgeted amounts. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 for the General and Special Revenue Fund, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.

Compliance – Contracts & Expenditures

We inquired of management and scanned the Payment Register Detail report for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 for procurements requiring competitive bidding to construct, demolish, alter, repair, or reconstruct a library or make any improvements or repairs, the cost of which exceeded \$25,000, except in cases of urgent necessity or for the security and protection of library property (Ohio Rev. Code Section 3375.41).

We identified no purchases subject to the aforementioned bidding requirements.

Officials' Response: The amounts on the 2009 Annual Appropriation Measure, passed March 5, 2009, agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for the General Fund. However, due to an oversight 2009 Special Revenue appropriations totaling \$1,255 were not included within the Annual Appropriation Measure.

Gnadenhutten Public Library
Tuscarawas County
Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures
Page 4

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Library's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and those charged with governance and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

Mary Taylor

March 19, 2010



Mary Taylor, CPA Auditor of State

GNADENHUTTEN PUBLIC LIBRARY

TUSCARAWAS COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED MAY 6, 2010