



Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

REPUBLICAN PARTY
HENRY COUNTY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
-------	------

Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures.....	1
---	---

This page intentionally left blank.



Mary Taylor, CPA

Auditor of State

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Secretary of State of Ohio
Republican Executive Committee
Henry County
E 840 Road 8B
Hamler, Ohio 43524

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, to which the Republican Executive Committee (the Committee) agreed, solely to assist the Committee in evaluating its compliance with certain requirements included in Ohio Rev. Code Sections 3517.1012, 3517.13(X)(1), 2(b), (3)(a), and (4), 3517.17, and 3517.18, for the year ended December 31, 2009. The Committee is responsible for complying with these requirements. We followed the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards for agreed-upon procedures engagements and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the Committee. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Cash Receipts

1. We inquired of the Committee whether they deposited all gifts from corporations, labor organizations and all gifts restricted to operations into the same fund into which they deposit amounts received from the State Tax Commissioner. Management informed us they received no such gifts.
2. We requested copies of each *Statement of Political Party Restricted Fund Deposits* Ohio Rev. Code Section 3517.17 requires (Deposit Form 31-CC), filed for 2009. The Committee did not file the required Deposit Form 31-CC, rather they filed the *Statement of Contributions Received* (Deposit Form 31-A). We footed Deposit Form 31-A, filed for 2009. We noted no computational errors.
3. We compared bank deposits reflected in 2009 restricted fund bank statements to total deposits recorded in Deposit Form 31-A filed for 2009. The bank deposit amounts agreed to the deposits recorded in the Form. However, one deposit in the amount of \$0.76 was subsequently charged back to the bank account because the warrant had become stale dated. We recommend the Committee obtain a reissued warrant from the State.

4. We scanned the Committee's 2009 bank statements and noted they reflected four quarterly payments received from the State Tax Commissioner pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code 3517.17(A). The Deposit Form 31-A reported the sum of these four payments without exception.
5. We scanned other recorded 2009 receipts for evidence that a corporation or labor organization may have exceeded the \$10,000 annual gift limit Ohio Rev. Code 3517.13(X)(3)(a) imposes. We found no evidence that any corporation or labor organization exceeded this limit.

Cash Reconciliation

1. We recomputed the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2009 reconciliation for the bank account used for receipts and disbursements restricted pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code Section 3517.1012(A). We found no computational errors.
2. We agreed the bank balance on the reconciliation to the bank statement balance as of December 31, 2009. The balances agreed.

Cash Disbursements

1. We requested copies of each *Statement of Political Party Restricted Fund Disbursements* Ohio Rev. Code, Section 3517.17, requires (Disbursement Form 31-M), filed for 2009. The Committee did not file the required Form Disbursement Form 31-M, rather they filed the *Statement of Expenditures* (Disbursement Form 31-B). We footed the Disbursement Form 31-B filed for 2009. We noted no computational errors.
2. Per Ohio Rev. Code 3517.13(X)(1), we scanned Disbursement Form 31-B filed for 2009 and inquired of management whether they transferred any cash from the restricted fund to any other political party account into which contributions may be made or from which contributions or expenditures may be made. We found no evidence of prohibited transfers.
3. We compared the amounts on checks or other disbursements reflected in 2009 restricted fund bank statements to disbursement amounts reported on Disbursement Form 31-B filed for 2009. We found no discrepancies.
4. For each disbursement on Disbursement Form 31-B filed for 2009, we attempted to trace the payee and amount to payee invoices and to the payee's name on canceled checks. The payees and amounts recorded on Disbursement Form 31-B agreed to the payees and amounts on the canceled checks and invoices in two of three instances. The other disbursement transaction consisted of a bank charge which represented a stale dated deposit warrant in the amount of \$0.76. This was electronically deducted from the Restricted Fund Bank Account. No invoice or cancelled check was issued for this transaction.
5. We scanned the payee for each 2009 disbursement for evidence that it might represent a contribution or campaign-related disbursement, both of which Ohio Rev. Code 3517.13(X)(2)(b) prohibit. We found no evidence that any restricted fund disbursements represented contributions or campaign-related disbursements.

6. We compared the signature on 2009 checks to the list of authorized signatories the Committee provided to us. The signatory on all checks we selected was an approved signatory. We compared the endorsement to the payee listed on the check without exception.
7. We scanned each 2009 restricted fund disbursement recorded on Form 31-B for evidence that it represented a transfer from the restricted fund to any other state or county political party, which Ohio Rev. Code 3517(X)(4) prohibits. We found no evidence of any transfers.
8. We compared the purpose of each disbursement listed on 2009 Disbursement Forms 31-B to the purpose listed on the vendor invoice and to the purposes Ohio Rev. Code Section 3517.18 permits. We found one instance where the purpose violated the restrictions of Ohio Rev. Code Section 3517.18. This instance consisted of one \$0.76 charge for a stale dated deposit warrant.

We were not engaged to, and did not examine each *Statement of Political Party Restricted Fund Deposits* and *Statement of Political Party Restricted Fund Disbursements* filed for 2009, the objective of which would have been to opine on compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that we would have reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Secretary of State of Ohio and for the Republican Executive Committee and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone else.



Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

March 8, 2010



Mary Taylor, CPA
Auditor of State

REPUBLICAN PARTY

HENRY COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED
MARCH 25, 2010