



CENTRAL OHIO YOUTH CENTER UNION COUNTY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE P.	<u>AGE</u>
Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	1





INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Central Ohio Youth Center Union County 18100 State Route 4 Marysville, Ohio 43040

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Trustees and the management of the Central Ohio Youth Center, Union County, Ohio (the Center) agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash

- 1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We agreed the January 1, 2012 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Report to the December 31, 2011 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2013 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Report to the December 31, 2012 balances in the Fund Report. We found no exceptions.
- 3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2013 and 2012 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Reports. The amounts agreed.
- 4. We confirmed the December 31, 2013 bank account balance with the Center's financial institution. We found no exceptions.

Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

- 1. We also selected all of the receipts from the County Auditor's Appropriation History Report from 2013 and 2012.
 - a. We compared the amount from the above report to the amount recorded in the Revenue History Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts (Continued)

- 2. We confirmed the amounts paid from the Ohio Department of Youth Services to the Center during 2012 with the Counties. We found no exceptions.
 - a. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- 3. We confirmed the amounts paid from the Member Counties to the Center during 2012 with the Counties. We found no exceptions.
 - a. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Over-The-Counter Cash Receipts

We haphazardly selected 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2013 and 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended 2012 recorded in the Revenue History Report and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded on the invoice sent by the Center to the entities. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was posted to the proper fund, and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

1. From the prior audit documentation, we noted the following bonds and notes outstanding as of December 31, 2011. These amounts agreed to the Center's January 1, 2012 balances on the summary we used in step 3.

Issue	Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2011:
General Obligation Bonds	\$795,000
Facility Improvement Notes	\$450,000

- 2. We inquired of management, and scanned the Revenue History Report and Appropriation History Report for evidence of debt issued during 2013 or 2012 or debt payment activity during 2013 or 2012. All debt noted agreed to the summary we used in step 3.
- 3. We obtained a summary of bonded and note debt activity for 2013 and 2012 and agreed principal and interest payments from the related debt amortization schedules to debt service fund payments reported in the Appropriation History Report. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Center made the payments. We found no exceptions.
 - We agreed the amount of debt proceeds from the debt documents to amounts recorded in the General fund per the Revenue History Report. The amounts agreed.
- 4. For new debt issued during 2013 and 2012, we inspected the debt legislation, noting the Center must use the proceeds to renovate, improve, furnish, and equip the Central Ohio Youth Center facility and write off any previously issued notes. We scanned the Appropriation History Report and noted the Center paid off previously issued notes in August of 2012 and 2013.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2013 and one payroll check for five employees from 2012 from the Payroll Worksheets and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Payroll Worksheets to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We determined whether the fund and account codes to which the check was posted were reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files. We also determined whether the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- We reviewed the Union County audit, since they are the fiscal agent for the Center to determine
 whether remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld,
 plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2013. We
 noted no exceptions.
- 3. We haphazardly selected and recomputed one termination payment (unused vacation, etc.) using the following information, and agreed the computation to the amount paid as recorded in the Payroll Worksheet:
 - a. Accumulated leave records
 - b. The employee's pay rate in effect as of the termination date
 - c. The Center's payout policy.

The amount paid was consistent with the information recorded in a. through c. above.

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Appropriation History Report for the year ended December 31, 2013 and ten from the year ended 2012 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Appropriation History Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
 - d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D).

Compliance – Budgetary

- We compared the total estimated receipts from the Certificate of the Total Amount From All Sources Available For Expenditures and Balances, required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.36(A)(1), to the amounts recorded in the Revenue History Report for the General and Capital Projects funds for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. The amounts agreed.
- 2. We scanned the appropriation measures adopted for 2013 and 2012 to determine whether, for the General and Capital Projects funds, the Trustees appropriated separately for "each office, department, and division, and within each, the amount appropriated for personal services," as is required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38(C). We found no exceptions.

Compliance – Budgetary (Continued)

- 3. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.38 and 5705.40, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation History Report for 2013 and 2012 for the following funds: General and Capital Projects. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation History report.
- 4. Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.36(A)(5) and 5705.39 prohibits appropriations from exceeding the certified resources. We compared total appropriations to total certified resources for the General Capital Projects funds for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. We noted no funds for which appropriations exceeded certified resources.
- 5. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 for the General and Capital Projects funds, as recorded in the Appropriation History Report. We noted no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
- 6. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 requires establishing separate funds to segregate externally-restricted resources. We scanned the Revenue History Report for evidence of new restricted receipts requiring a new fund during December 31, 2013 and 2012. We also inquired of management regarding whether the Center received new restricted receipts. We noted no evidence of new restricted receipts for which Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.09 would require the Center to establish a new fund.
- 7. We scanned the 2013 and 2012 Revenue History Reports and Appropriation History Reports for evidence of interfund transfers which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.14 .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner and Court of Common Pleas.
- 8. We inquired of management and scanned the Appropriation History Reports to determine whether the Center elected to establish reserve accounts permitted by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.13. We noted the Center did not establish these reserves.
- 9. We scanned the Fund History Report for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 for negative cash fund balance. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.10 (I) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. We noted no funds having a negative cash fund balance.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Center's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Center, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Dave Yost Auditor of State

Columbus, Ohio

May 6, 2014





CENTRAL OHIO YOUTH CENTER

UNION COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED MAY 29, 2014