Financial Statements (Audited)

For The Years Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

RAY HAZLETT, CLERK/TREASURER



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

Village Council Village of Sugar Grove 101 Bridge Street Sugar Grove, Ohio 43155

We have reviewed the *Independent Auditor's Report* of the Village of Sugar Grove, Fairfield County, prepared by Julian & Grube, Inc., for the audit period January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2013. Based upon this review, we have accepted these reports in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code. The Auditor of State did not audit the accompanying financial statements and, accordingly, we are unable to express, and do not express an opinion on them.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Village of Sugar Grove is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

here yout

Dave Yost Auditor of State

June 26, 2014

88 East Broad Street, Fifth Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3506 Phone: 614-466-4514 or 800-282-0370 Fax: 614-466-4490 www.ohioauditor.gov This page intentionally left blank.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Independent Auditor's Report	1 - 2
Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Fund Balances (Cash Basis) - All Governmental Fund Types - For The Year Ended December 31, 2013	3
Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Fund Balances (Cash Basis) - Proprietary and Fiduciary Fund Types - For The Year Ended December 31, 2013	4
Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Fund Balances (Cash Basis) - All Governmental Fund Types - For The Year Ended December 31, 2012	5
Combined Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Fund Balances (Cash Basis) - Proprietary and Fiduciary Fund Types - For The Year Ended December 31, 2012	6
Notes to the Financial Statements	7 - 20
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	21 - 22
Schedule of Findings and Responses	23 - 25



Julian & Grube, Inc.

Serving Ohio Local Governments

333 County Line Rd. West, Westerville, OH 43082 Phone: 614.846.1899 Fax: 614.846.2799

Independent Auditor's Report

Village of Sugar Grove Fairfield County 101 Bridge Street Sugar Grove, Ohio 43155

To the Members of Council and Mayor:

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements and related notes of the Village of Sugar Grove, Fairfield County, Ohio, as of and for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for preparing and fairly presenting these financial statements in accordance with the financial reporting provisions Ohio Revised Code Section 117.38 and Ohio Administrative Code Section 117-2-03(D) permit; this responsibility includes designing, implementing and maintaining internal control relevant to preparing and fairly presenting financial statements free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to opine on these financial statements based on our audit. We audited in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the financial audit standards in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. Those standards require us to plan and perform the audit to reasonably assure the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit requires obtaining evidence about financial statement amounts and disclosures. The procedures selected depend on our judgment, including assessing the risks of material financial statements misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. In assessing those risks, we consider internal control relevant to the Village of Sugar Grove's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not to the extent needed to opine on the effectiveness of the Village of Sugar Grove's internal control. Accordingly, we express no opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of management's accounting policies and the reasonableness of their significant accounting estimates, as well as our evaluation of the overall financial statement presentation.

We believe the audit evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to support our audit opinion.

Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

As described in Note 2 of the financial statements, the Village of Sugar Grove prepared these financial statements using the accounting basis permitted by the financial reporting provisions of Ohio Revised Code Section 117.38 and Ohio Administrative Code Section 117-2-03(D), which is an accounting basis other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, to satisfy these requirements.

Members of Council and Mayor Village of Sugar Grove Page Two

The effects on the financial statements of the variances between the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 2 and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, although not reasonably determinable, are presumed to be material.

Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

In our opinion, because of the significance of the matter discussed in the *Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* paragraph, the financial statements referred to above do not present fairly, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of the Village of Sugar Grove as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, or changes in financial position or cash flows thereof for the years then ended.

Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the combined cash balances of the Village of Sugar Grove, Fairfield County, Ohio, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and its combined cash receipts and disbursements for the years then ended in accordance with the financial reporting provisions Ohio Revised Code Section 117.38 and Ohio Administrative Code Section 117-2-03(D) permit, described in Note 2.

Emphasis of Matters

As discussed in Note 10 to the financial statements, during 2012, the Village of Sugar Grove adopted new accounting guidance in Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 54, "<u>Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions</u>". We did not modify our opinion regarding this matter.

As described further in Note 10 during 2012, the Village of Sugar Grove changed its accounting basis from Governmental Accounting Standard No. 34 *Basic Financial Statements* - Cash Basis to the cash (regulatory) basis of accounting.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated June 9, 2014, on our consideration of the Village of Sugar Grove's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. That report describes the scope of our internal control testing over financial reporting and compliance, and the results of that testing, and does not opine on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Village of Sugar Grove's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Julian & Sube the?

Julian & Grube, Inc. June 9, 2014

COMBINED STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES (CASH BASIS) - ALL GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

	Gov			
	Special General Revenue F		Permanent	Total (Memorandum Only)
Cash receipts:			*	
Property and local taxes	\$ 132,037	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 132,037
Intergovernmental	19,155	20,027	-	39,182
Fines, licenses, and permits	5,800	350	-	6,150
Interest	505	166	107	778
Miscellaneous	3,297		-	3,297
Total cash receipts	160,794	20,543	107	181,444
Cash disbursements:				
Current:				
Security of persons and property	48,079	-	-	48,079
Public health services	240	-	-	240
Leisure time activities	496	216	-	712
Community environment	7,758	-	-	7,758
Transportation	-	19,544	-	19,544
General government	62,864	-	-	62,864
Capital outlay	7,000	-	-	7,000
Total cash disbursements	126,437	19,760	-	146,197
Total cash receipts over cash disbursements	34,357	783	107	35,247
Other financing receipts:				
Operating transfers out	(7,900)	-	-	(7,900)
Total other financing receipts	(7,900)	-	-	(7,900)
Net change in fund cash balances	26,457	783	107	27,347
Fund cash balances, January 1, 2013	154,459	73,263	5,107	232,829
Fund cash balances, December 31, 2013				
Nonspendable	-	-	5,000	5,000
Restricted	-	74,046	-	74,046
Assigned	1,272	-	214	1,486
Unassigned	179,644			179,644
Fund cash balances, December 31, 2013	\$ 180,916	\$ 74,046	\$ 5,214	\$ 260,176

COMBINED STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES (CASH BASIS) - PROPRIETARY AND FIDUCIARY FUND TYPES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

	Proprietary Fund Type	Fiduciary Fund Type	Total
	Enterprise	Agency	(Memorandum Only)
Operating cash receipts: Charges for services	\$ 168,949	\$-	\$ 168,949
Total operating cash receipts	168,949	<u>ф</u>	168,949
Total operating cash receipts	100,949		100,747
Operating cash disbursements:			
Personal services	19,489	-	19,489
Employee fringe benefits	6,019	-	6,019
Contractual services	115,321	-	115,321
Supplies and material	10,221	-	10,221
Total operating cash disbursements	151,050		151,050
Operating income/(loss)	17,899		17,899
Nonoperating cash receipts/(disbursements):			
Intergovernmental	51,200	-	51,200
Fines, licenses and permits	-	2,942	2,942
Proceeds of notes	151,649	-	151,649
Other financing sources	-	43	43
Capital outlay	(173,476)	-	(173,476)
Other financing uses	-	(3,609)	(3,609)
Debt service:			
Principal	(23,395)	-	(23,395)
Interest	(13,758)		(13,758)
Total nonoperating cash receipts/(disbursements)	(7,780)	(624)	(8,404)
Income/(loss) before operating transfers and advances	10,119	(624)	9,495
Transfers in	7,900		7,900
Net income/(loss)	18,019	(624)	17,395
Fund cash balances, January 1, 2013	254,794	624	255,418
Fund cash balances, December 31, 2013	\$ 272,813	\$ -	\$ 272,813

COMBINED STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES (CASH BASIS) - ALL GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012

	Go			
	General	Special Revenue	Permanent	Total (Memorandum Only)
Cash receipts:				
Property and local taxes	\$ 124,295	\$ 2,885	\$ -	\$ 127,180
Intergovernmental	21,440	17,137	-	38,577
Fines, licenses, and permits	5,769	310	-	6,079
Interest	418	97	50	565
Miscellaneous	2,277	10		2,287
Total cash receipts	154,199	20,439	50	174,688
Cash disbursements: Current:				
	43,004			43.004
Security of persons and property Leisure time activities	,	-	-	,
	250	-	-	250
Community environment	667	-	-	667
Transportation	-	21,049	-	21,049
General government	84,195	-	-	84,195
Debt service:				
Principal retirement	610			610
Total cash disbursements	128,726	21,049	-	149,775
Total cash receipts over cash disbursements	25,473	(610)	50	24,913
Net change in fund cash balances	25,473	(610)	50	24,913
Fund cash balances, January 1, 2012	128,986	73,873	5,057	207,916
Fund cash balances, December 31, 2012				
Nonspendable	-	-	5,000	5,000
Restricted	-	73,263	-	73,263
Assigned	131,846	-	107	131,953
Unassigned	22,613			22,613
Fund cash balances, December 31, 2012	\$ 154,459	\$ 73,263	\$ 5,107	\$ 232,829

COMBINED STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES (CASH BASIS) - PROPRIETARY AND FIDUCIARY FUND TYPES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012

	Proprietary Fund Type	Fiduciary Fund Type	Total
			(Memorandum
	Enterprise	Agency	Only)
Operating cash receipts:			
Charges for services	\$ 177,005	\$ -	\$ 177,005
Total operating cash receipts	177,005		177,005
Operating cash disbursements:			
Personal services	15,914	-	15,914
Employee fringe benefits	2,173	-	2,173
Contractual services	83,644	-	83,644
Supplies and material	12,372	-	12,372
Total operating cash disbursements	114,103	-	114,103
Operating income/(loss)	62,902		62,902
Nonoperating cash receipts/(disbursements):			
Intergovernmental	35,875	-	35,875
Fines, licenses and permits	-	4,175	4,175
Proceeds of notes	36,043	-	36,043
Capital outlay	(58,670)	-	(58,670)
Other financing uses	-	(3,661)	(3,661)
Debt service:			
Principal	(22,668)	-	(22,668)
Interest	(11,855)	-	(11,855)
Total nonoperating cash receipts/(disbursements)	(21,275)	514	(20,761)
Net income/(loss)	41,627	514	42,141
Fund cash balances, January 1, 2012	213,167	110	213,277
Fund cash balances, December 31, 2012	\$ 254,794	\$ 624	\$ 255,418

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013 AND 2012

NOTE 1 - DESCRIPTION OF THE ENTITY

The constitution and laws of the State of Ohio establish the rights and privileges of the Village of Sugar Grove, Fairfield County, (the "Village"), as a body corporate and politic. A publicly elected six-member Council governs the Village. The Village provides general government services, water, sewer and sanitation utilities, maintenance of Village roads and bridges, park operations and police services.

The Village's management believes these financial statements present all activities for which the Village is financially accountable.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The most significant of the Village's accounting policies are described below.

A. <u>FUND ACCOUNTING</u>

The Village uses fund accounting to segregate cash and investments that are restricted as to use. The Village classifies its funds into the following types:

General Fund

The general fund accounts for and reports all financial resources not accounted for and reported in another fund.

Special Revenue Funds

These funds account for and report the proceeds of specific revenues sources that are restricted or committed to expenditure for specified purposes other than debt service or capital projects.

The Village had the following significant Special Revenue Fund:

Street Construction, Maintenance & Repair Fund - This fund receives gasoline tax and motor vehicle tax money for constructing, maintain and repair Village streets.

Enterprise Funds

These funds account for operations that are similar to private business enterprises, where management intends to recover the significant costs of providing certain goods or services through user charges. The Village had the following significant Enterprise Funds:

Water Fund - This fund receives charges for services from residents to cover the costs of providing the citizens of the Village with water services.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013 AND 2012

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - (Continued)

Sewer Fund - This fund receives charges for services from residents to cover the costs of providing the citizens of the Village with sewer services.

Sanitation Fund - This fund receives charges for services from residents for the garbage and trash services provided to the citizens of the Village and commercial users.

Fiduciary Funds

Agency funds are purely custodial in nature and are used to hold resources for individuals, organizations or other governments. The Village disburses these funds as directed by the individual, organization or other government. The Village's agency fund accounts for Mayor's Court operations. The Village Mayor's Court discontinued operations in October of 2013.

B. ACCOUNTING BASIS

These financial statements follow the accounting basis the Auditor of State prescribes or permits. This basis is similar to the cash receipts and disbursements accounting basis. The Village recognizes receipts when received in cash rather than when earned, and recognizes disbursements when paid rather than when a liability is incurred. Budgetary presentations report budgetary expenditures when a commitment is made (i.e., when an encumbrance is approved.

These statements include adequate disclosure of material matters, as the Auditor of State prescribes or permits.

C. <u>BUDGETARY PROCESS</u>

The Ohio Revised Code requires that each fund be budgeted annually.

1. Appropriations

Budgetary expenditures (that is, disbursements and encumbrances) may not exceed appropriations at the fund, function or object level of control (2013) and the fund level (2012), and appropriations may not exceed estimated resources. The Village Council must annually approve appropriation measures and subsequent amendments. Unencumbered appropriations lapse at year end.

2. Estimated Resources

Estimated resources include estimates of cash to be received (budgeted receipts) plus unencumbered cash as of January 1. The County Budget Commission must approve estimated resources.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013 AND 2012

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - (Continued)

3. Encumbrances

The Ohio Revised Code requires the Village to reserve (encumber) appropriations when individual commitments are made. Encumbrances outstanding at year end are carried over, and need not be reappropriated. The Village did not timely encumber all commitments required by Ohio Law.

A summary of 2013 and 2012 budgetary activity appears in Note 5.

D. Fund Balance

Fund balance is divided into five classifications based primarily on the extent to which the Village must observe constraints imposed upon the use of its governmental-fund resources. The classifications are as follows:

1. Nonspendable

The Village classifies assets as *nonspendable* when legally or contractually required to maintain the amounts intact.

2. **Restricted**

Fund balance is *restricted* when constraints placed on the use of resources are either externally imposed by creditors (such as through debt covenants), grantors, contributors, or laws of regulations of other governments; or is imposed by law through constitutional provisions.

3. **Committed**

Council can *commit* amounts via formal action (resolution). The Village must adhere to these commitments unless the Council amends the resolution. Committed fund balance also incorporates contractual obligations to the extent that existing resources in the fund have been specifically committed to satisfy contractual requirements.

4. Assigned

Assigned fund balances are intended for specific purposes, but do not meet the criteria to be classified as *restricted* or *committed*. Governmental funds other than the general fund report all fund balances as *assigned* unless they are restricted or committed. In the general fund, *assigned* amounts represent intended uses established by Village Council or a Village official delegated that authority by resolution, or by State Statute.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013 AND 2012

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - (Continued)

5. Unassigned

Unassigned fund balance is the residual classification for the general fund and includes amounts not included in other classifications. In other governmental funds, the unassigned classification is used only to report a deficit balance.

The Village applies restricted resources first when expenditures are incurred for purposes for which either restricted or unrestricted (committed, assigned and unassigned) amounts are available. Similarly, within unrestricted fund balance, committed amounts are reduced first followed by assigned and then unassigned amounts when expenditures are incurred for purposes for which amounts in any of the unrestricted fund balance classifications could be used.

E. <u>DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS</u>

The Village's accounting basis includes investments as assets. This basis does not record disbursements for investment purchases or receipts for investment sales. This basis records gains or losses at the time of sale as receipts or disbursements, respectively.

F. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

The Village records disbursements for acquisitions of property, plant, and equipment when paid. The accompanying financial statements do not report these items as assets.

G. ACCUMULATED LEAVE

In certain circumstances, such as upon leaving employment, employees are entitled to cash payments for unused leave. The financial statements do not include a liability for unpaid leave.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013 AND 2012

NOTE 3 - EQUITY IN POOLED DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

The Village maintains a deposit and investments pool used by all funds. The Ohio Revised Code prescribes allowable deposits and investments. The carrying amount of deposits and investments at December 31 was as follows:

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Demand deposits	\$ 527,989	\$483,247
Total deposits	527,989	483,247
U.S. Treasury Bond	5,000	5,000
Total investments	5,000	5,000
Total deposits and investments	\$ 532,989	\$488,247

Deposits: Deposits are either (1) insured by the Federal Depository Insurance Corporation or (2) collateralized by the financial institution's public entity deposit pool.

Investments: The U.S. Treasury Bond is held in book-entry form by the Federal Reserve, in the name of the Village's financial institution. The financial institution maintains records identifying the Village as owner of these securities.

NOTE 4 - INTERFUND ACTIVITY

The Village had the following transfers for the year ended December 31, 2013:

Fund Type/Fund	Transfers In		Tran	sfers Out				
General	\$ -		\$ -		\$ -			\$7,900
<u>Enterprise</u> Sewer Line Replacement		7,900		<u> </u>				
Total	\$	7,900	\$	7,900				

The above transfer was made in accordance with Ohio Revised Code Sections 5705.14, 5705.15 and 5705.16.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013 AND 2012

NOTE 5 - BUDGETARY ACTIVITY

Budgetary activity for the years ending December 31, 2013 and 2012 follows:

2013 Budgeted vs. Actual Receipts							
		E	Budgeted Actual				
Fund Type	Fund Type		Receipts	Receipts			Variance
General		\$	131,888	\$	160,794	\$	28,906
Special Reven	ue		19,950		20,543		593
Permanent			-		107		107
Enterprise			464,002		379,698		(84,304)
	Total	<u>\$</u>	615,840	\$	561,142	\$	(54,698)
	2	013 Bi	udgeted vs. A	ctual E	xpenditures		
		App	propriation	В	udgetary		
Fund Type		A	uthority	Ex	penditures		Variance
General		\$	259,317	\$	135,609	\$	123,708
Special Reven	ue		71,165		21,919		49,246
Enterprise			506,247		361,939		144,308
	Total	\$	836,729	\$	519,467	\$	317,262
2012 Budgeted vs. Actual Receipts							
		2012	Budgeted vs.	Actual	Receipts		
			Budgeted vs. Budgeted	Actual	Receipts Actual		
Fund Type		E I		1			Variance
Fund Type General		E	Budgeted		Actual Receipts 154,199	\$	Variance 121,699
	ue	E I	Budgeted Receipts	1	Actual Receipts		
General	ue	E I	Budgeted Receipts 32,500	1	Actual Receipts 154,199		121,699
General Special Reven	ue	E I	Budgeted Receipts 32,500	1	Actual Receipts 154,199 20,439		121,699 15,151
General Special Reven Permanent	ue Total	E I	Budgeted Receipts 32,500 5,288	1	Actual Receipts 154,199 20,439 50		121,699 15,151 50
General Special Reven Permanent	Total	E F \$	Budgeted Receipts 32,500 5,288 - 42,250	<u> </u>	Actual Receipts 154,199 20,439 50 248,923 423,611	\$	121,699 15,151 50 206,673
General Special Reven Permanent	Total	E I \$ <u>\$</u> 012 Bu	Budgeted Receipts 32,500 5,288 - 42,250 80,038	<u> </u> \$ <u>\$</u> ctual E	Actual Receipts 154,199 20,439 50 248,923 423,611	\$	121,699 15,151 50 206,673
General Special Reven Permanent	Total	E F S S 012 Bu Apj A	Budgeted Receipts 32,500 5,288 42,250 80,038 udgeted vs. Ac propriation Authority	I \$S B B	Actual Receipts 154,199 20,439 50 248,923 423,611 xpenditures udgetary penditures	\$ <u>\$</u>	121,699 15,151 50 206,673 343,573 Variance
General Special Reven Permanent Enterprise Fund Type General	Total 20	E F S S 012 Br Apj	Budgeted Receipts 32,500 5,288 42,250 80,038 adgeted vs. According authority 167,935	<u> </u>	Actual Receipts 154,199 20,439 50 248,923 423,611 xpenditures udgetary penditures 133,143	\$ <u>\$</u>	121,699 15,151 50 206,673 <u>343,573</u> Variance 34,792
General Special Reven Permanent Enterprise Fund Type General Special Reven	Total 20	E F S S 012 Bu Apj A	Budgeted Receipts 32,500 5,288 - 42,250 80,038 adgeted vs. Accordination Authority 167,935 46,200	I \$S B B	Actual Receipts 154,199 20,439 50 248,923 423,611 xpenditures udgetary penditures 133,143 21,513	\$	121,699 15,151 50 206,673 <u>343,573</u> Variance 34,792 24,687
General Special Reven Permanent Enterprise Fund Type General	Total 20	E F S S 012 Bu Apj A	Budgeted Receipts 32,500 5,288 42,250 80,038 adgeted vs. According authority 167,935	I \$S B B	Actual Receipts 154,199 20,439 50 248,923 423,611 xpenditures udgetary penditures 133,143	\$	121,699 15,151 50 206,673 <u>343,573</u> Variance 34,792

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013 AND 2012

NOTE 6 - PROPERTY TAX

Real property taxes become a lien on January 1 preceding the October 1 date for which the Council adopted tax rates. The State Board of Tax Equalization adjusts these rates for inflation. Property taxes are also reduced for applicable homestead and rollback deductions. The financial statements include homestead and rollback amounts the State pays as Intergovernmental Receipts. Payments are due to the County by December 31. If the property owner elects to pay semiannually, the first half is due December 31. The second half payment is due the following June 20.

Public utilities are also taxed on personal and real property located within the Village.

The County is responsible for assessing property, and for billing, collecting, and distributing all property taxes on behalf of the Village.

NOTE 7 - LOCAL INCOME TAX

The Village levies a municipal income tax of .75 percent on substantially all earned income arising from employment, residency, or business activities within the Village as well as certain income of residents earned outside of the Village.

Employers within the Village withhold income tax on employee compensation and remit the tax to the Village either monthly or quarterly, as required. Corporations and other individual taxpayers pay estimated taxes quarterly and file a declaration annually. The Village contracts with the Regional Income Tax Agency for its collection and processing of its income tax.

NOTE 8 - DEBT

At December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, debt obligations consisted of the following issuances:

Description	Balance at <u>12/31/2013</u>	Balance at <u>12/31/2012</u>
OWDA Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements Design - #6274	\$ 68,615	\$ 15,026
OWDA Water Treatment Plant Improvements Design - #5732	42,340	34,638
OPWC Waterline Replacement Phase I - #CQ16K	177,845	188,965
OPWC Sanitary Sewer Improvement - #CQ07N	34,155	35,375
OWDA Waterline Replacement - #4859	224,704	229,529
OPWC Waterline Replacement Phase II - CQ22L	60,440	64,102
OPWC Sanitary Sewer Rehabilitation Phase II - CT38P	101,920	14,130
Total	\$ 710,019	\$ 581,765

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013 AND 2012

NOTE 8 - DEBT - (Continued)

OPWC Sanitary Sewer Rehabilitatin Phase II - CT38P

Transactions for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are summarized as follows:

Ralance at

Ralance at

14,130

\$ 581,765

20	1	2
20	T	2

Total

2013	Balance at			Balance at
	12/31/2012	Proceeds	Retirements	12/31/2013
OWDA Wastewater Treatment Plant				
Improvements Design - #6274	\$ 15,026	\$ 53,589	\$ -	\$ 68,615
OWDA Water Treatment Plant				
Improvements Design - #5732	34,638	10,270	(2,568)	42,340
OPWC Waterline Replacement Phase I - CQ16K	188,965	-	(11,120)	177,845
OPWC Sanitary Sewer Improvement - #CQ07N	35,375	-	(1,220)	34,155
OWDA Waterline Replacement - #4859	229,529	-	(4,825)	224,704
OPWC Waterline Replacement Phase II - CQ22L	64,102	-	(3,662)	60,440
OPWC Sanitary Sewer Rehabilitatin Phase II - CT38P	14,130	87,790	-	101,920
Total	\$ 581,765	\$ 151,649	\$ (23,395)	\$ 710,019
<u>2012</u>	Balance at			Balance at
	12/31/2011	Proceeds	Retirements	12/31/2012
OWDA Wastewater Treatment Plant				
Improvements Design - #6274	\$ -	\$ 15,026	\$ -	\$ 15,026
OWDA Water Treatment Plant				
Improvements Design - #5732	30,319	6,887	(2,568)	34,638
OPWC Waterline Replacement Phase I - CQ16K	200,175	-	(11,210)	188,965
OPWC Sanitary Sewer Improvement - #CQ07N	36,595	-	(1,220)	35,375
OWDA Waterline Replacement - #4859	234,147	-	(4,618)	229,529
1	23 1,1 17		(1,010)	,,e,

The OWDA Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvement Design #6274 loan is not yet finalized, thus, no amortization exists.

569,000

\$

14,130

\$ (23,278)

\$ 36,043

The OWDA Water Treatment Plant Improvements Design #5732 loan is not yet finalized, thus, no amortization exists; however, the Village is required to make semi-annual principal payments of \$1,284.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013 AND 2012

NOTE 8 - DEBT - (Continued)

Amortization of the above debt, including interest, is scheduled as follows:

		#CQ16K				
Year Ending		OPWC Loan				
December 31,	Р	rincipal	Inter	est		
2014	\$	11,120	\$	-		
2015		11,120		-		
2016		11,120		-		
2017		11,120		-		
2018		11,120		-		
2019 - 2023		55,600		-		
2024 - 2028		55,600		-		
2029		11,045		-		
Total	\$	177,845	\$			

Year Ending	#CQ07N OPWC Loan				
December 31,	Р	rincipal		Interest	
2014	\$	1,218		\$	-
2015		1,218			-
2016		1,218			-
2017		1,218			-
2018		1,218			-
2019 - 2023		6,090			-
2024 - 2028		6,090			-
2029 - 2033		6,090			-
2034 - 2038		6,090			-
2039 - 2041		3,705			-
Total	\$	34,155		\$	-

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013 AND 2012

NOTE 8 - DEBT - (Continued)

	#4859		
Year Ending	OWDA Loan		
December 31,	Principal	Interest	
2014	\$ 5,041	\$ 9,943	
2015	5,268	9,716	
2016	5,505	9,479	
2017	5,753	9,231	
2018	6,012	8,972	
2019 - 2023	34,371	40,550	
2024 - 2028	42,834	32,088	
2029 - 2033	53,378	21,544	
2034 - 2038	66,542	8,403	
Total	\$ 224,704	\$ 149,926	

Year Ending	#CQ22L OPWC Loan			
December 31,	Р	rincipal	Inte	rest
2014	\$	3,662	\$	-
2015		3,662		-
2016		3,662		-
2017		3,662		-
2018		3,662		-
2019 - 2023		18,310		-
2024 - 2028		18,310		-
2029 - 2030		5,510		_
Total	\$	60,440	\$	-

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013 AND 2012

NOTE 8 - DEBT - (Continued)

	#CT38P				
Year Ending	OPWC Loan				
December 31,	I	Principal		In	iterest
2014	\$	3,396		\$	-
2015		3,396			-
2016		3,396			-
2017		3,396			-
2018		3,396			-
2019 - 2023		16,980			-
2024 - 2028		16,980			-
2029 - 2033		16,980			-
2034 - 2038		16,980			-
2039 - 2043		17,020			-
Total	\$	101,920		\$	_

NOTE 9 - RETIREMENT SYSTEM

The Village's employees belong to the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS). OPERS is a cost sharing, multiple-employer plan. This plan provides retirement benefits, including post-retirement healthcare, and survivor and disability benefits to participants as prescribed by the Ohio Revised Code.

Contribution rates are also prescribed by the Ohio Revised Code. For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, OPERS members contributed 10% of their gross salaries. The Village contributed an amount equal to 14% of participants' gross salaries for 2013 and 2012, respectively. At December 31, 2013, all amounts for the years ended 2013 and 2012 have been paid.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013 AND 2012

NOTE 10 - CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES

For fiscal year 2012, the Village implemented Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, "<u>Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions</u>", which are described in Note 2.D.

For Fiscal year 2012, the Village changed its accounting basis from GASB - cash basis to the cash (regulatory) basis of accounting. There was no effect on beginning fund balances.

NOTE 11 - COMPLIANCE

- *i.* The Village was in noncompliance with Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.38(C) for the year ended December 31, 2012.
- *ii.* The Village was in noncompliance with Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.41(D) for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

NOTE 12 - RISK MANAGEMENT

The Village is exposed to various risks of property and casualty losses, and injuries to employees.

The Village insures against injuries to employees through the Ohio Bureau of Worker's Compensation.

The Village belongs to the Public Entities Pool of Ohio (PEP), a risk-sharing pool available to Ohio local governments. PEP provides property and casualty coverage for its members. York Risk Pooling Services, Inc. (formerly known as American Risk Pooling Consultants, Inc.), functions as the administrator of PEP and provides underwriting, claims, loss control, risk management, and reinsurance services for PEP. PEP is a member of the American Public Entity Excess Pool (APEEP), which is also administered by ARPCO. Member governments pay annual contributions to fund PEP. PEP pays judgments, settlements and other expenses resulting from covered claims that exceed the members' deductibles.

Casualty and Property Coverage

APEEP provides PEP with an excess risk-sharing program. Under this arrangement, PEP retains insured risks up to an amount specified in the contracts. At December 31, 2012, PEP retained \$350,000 for casualty claims and \$150,000 for property claims.

The aforementioned casualty and property reinsurance agreement does not discharge PEP's primary liability for claims payments on covered losses. Claims exceeding coverage limits are the obligation of the respective government.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013 AND 2012

NOTE 12 - RISK MANAGEMENT - (Continued)

Financial Position

PEP's financial statements (audited by other accountants) conform with generally accepted accounting principles, and reported the following assets, liabilities and retained earnings at December 31, 2012 and 2011(the latest information available):

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
Assets	\$34,389,569	\$33,362,404
Liabilities	(14,208,353)	(14,187,273)
Net Position	<u>\$20,181,216</u>	<u>\$19,175,131</u>

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, the liabilities above include approximately \$13.1 million and \$13.0 million of estimated incurred claims payable. The assets above also include approximately \$12.6 million and \$12.1 million of unpaid claims to be billed to approximately 466 and 455 member governments in the future, as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. These amounts will be included in future contributions from members when the related claims are due for payment. As of December 31, 2012, the Village's share of these unpaid claims collectible in future years is approximately \$4,000.

Based on discussions with PEP, the expected rates PEP charges to compute member contributions, which are used to pay claims as they become due, are not expected to change significantly from those used to determine the historical contributions detailed below. By contract, the annual liability of each member is limited to the amount of financial contributions required to be made to PEP for each year of membership.

Contributions to PEP		
<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	
\$5,187	\$5,332	

After one year of membership, a member may withdraw on the anniversary of the date of joining PEP, if the member notifies PEP in writing 60 days prior to the anniversary date. Upon withdrawal, members are eligible for a full or partial refund of their capital contributions, minus the subsequent year's contribution. Withdrawing members have no other future obligation to PEP. Also upon withdrawal, payments for all casualty claims and claim expenses become the sole responsibility of the withdrawing member, regardless of whether a claim occurred or was reported prior to the withdrawal.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013 AND 2012

NOTE 13 - CONTINGENT LIABILITY

LITIGATION

The Village is not currently involved in litigation.



Julian & Grube, Inc. Serving Ohio Local Governments

ereing onto Local Governments

333 County Line Rd. West, Westerville, OH 43082 Phone: 614.846.1899 Fax: 614.846.2799

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by *Government Auditing Standards*

Village of Sugar Grove Fairfield County 101 Bridge Street Sugar Grove, Ohio 43155

To the Members of Council and Mayor:

We have audited in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States and the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*, the financial statements of the Village of Sugar Grove, Fairfield County, Ohio, as of and for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 and the related notes to the financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated June 9, 2014 wherein we noted the Village of Sugar Grove followed financial reporting provisions Ohio Revised Code Section 117.38 and Ohio Administrative Code Section 117-2-03(D) permit. In addition, as discussed in Note 10, the Village of Sugar Grove adopted Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 54, "<u>Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions</u>" for 2012 and the Village of Sugar Grove changed its accounting basis from Governmental Accounting Standard No. 34 *Basic Financial Statements* - cash basis to the cash (regulatory) basis of accounting.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

As part of our financial statements audit, we considered the Village of Sugar Grove's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures appropriate in the circumstances to the extent necessary to support our opinion on the financial statements, but not to the extent necessary to opine on the effectiveness of the Village of Sugar Grove's internal control. Accordingly, we have not opined on it.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Therefore, unidentified material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting, that we consider material weaknesses. Members of Council and Mayor Village of Sugar Grove

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, when performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and timely correct misstatements. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of internal control deficiencies resulting in a reasonable possibility that internal control will not prevent or detect and timely correct a material misstatement of the Village of Sugar Grove's financial statements. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider findings 2013-VOSG-001, 2013-VOSG-002 and 2013-VOSG-003 described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses to be material weaknesses.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of reasonably assuring whether the Village of Sugar Grove's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we tested its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could directly and materially affect the determination of financial statement amounts. However, opining on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and accordingly, we do not express an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed two instances of noncompliance or other matters we must report under *Government Auditing Standards* which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses as items 2013-VOSG-003 and 2013-VOSG-004.

Entity's Response to Findings

The Village of Sugar Grove's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses. We did not audit the Village of Sugar Grove's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

Purpose of this Report

This report only describes the scope of our internal control and compliance testing and our testing results, and does not opine on the effectiveness of the Village of Sugar Grove's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed under *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Village of Sugar Grove's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Julian & Sube the?

Julian & Grube, Inc. June 9, 2014

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES DECEMBER 31, 2013 AND 2012

FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS

Finding Number

2013-VOSG-001

Material Weakness - Financial Statement Presentation

The Village had receipts and disbursements that were posted incorrectly according to the Village Handbook and the Ohio Revised Code. Numerous adjustments were made to the financial statements, for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, to properly state receipts and disbursements and fund balances for each year then ended.

The audited financial statements and Village records have been adjusted for the misstatements identified during the audit.

In general, an accounting and information system should be designed to provide management with accurate and timely information to enable well-informed business decisions to be made. The present system lacks fiscal oversight and approvals and fails to meet the above expectations.

We recommend the Village consult with their auditors, an accounting/consulting firm, the Village Handbook and the Ohio Municipal League and consider obtaining an overall review of the financial statements and notes prior to submitting to the auditor as an additional internal control to help ensure accurate financial reporting.

<u>*Client Response*</u>: The Fiscal Office continues to work to provide a sound fiscal environment for the Village and has implemented policies and procedures to help with financial statement presentation.

Finding Number	2013-VOSG-002
----------------	---------------

Material Weakness - Utility Billing

Effective controls over utility billing are critical for the Village to help ensure customers are being billed properly in accordance with the established Village rates. This helps ensure funds will be available to sustain the utility and provide for future debt service and utility improvement disbursements.

The Village bills quarterly for water, sewer and trash. Six of eight quarters tested did not have correct billing rates for water and sewer. All examined were underbilling the Village citizens for water and sewer.

The Village is not billing and collecting monies in accordance with its approved rate structure and therefore not realizing utility revenues.

We recommend the Village review these discrepancies and determine via a Council vote if they will forgive the unbilled amounts or recoup the fees from the citizens. We further recommend that the Village perform quarterly internal rate recomputations on a number of citizens to help ensure this does not occur in the future.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES DECEMBER 31, 2013 AND 2012

FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS

Finding Number

2013-VOSG-002 - (Continued)

<u>*Client Response*</u>: The Village will discuss this issue and formalize a determination and begin quarterly billing calculation verifications.

Finding Number	2013-VOSG-003	
----------------	---------------	--

Material Weakness/Noncompliance

Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.41(D) requires that no orders or contracts involving the expenditure of money are to be made unless there is a certificate of the fiscal officer that the amount required for the order or contract has been lawfully appropriated and is in the treasury or in the process of collection to the credit of an appropriate fund free from any previous encumbrances.

The Village had 64% and 82% of expenditures that were not timely certified for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. In addition, 73% of 2012 purchase orders were not signed by the Clerk/Treasurer evidencing monies had been lawfully appropriated and were in the treasury or in the process of collection.

Without timely certification, the Village may expend more funds than available in the Treasury, in the process of collection or in the funds appropriated. It may also result in unnecessary purchases.

We recommend that all orders or contracts involving the expenditure of money be timely certified to ensure all monies expended are lawfully appropriated and available in the treasury or in the process of collection. A policy and procedure statement adopted by the Council and distributed at least annually may be beneficial. The Village should consider using "Then" and "Now" certificates where applicable.

<u>*Client Response:*</u> The Village is attempting to use blanket certificates and 'then and now' certificates when applicable.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES DECEMBER 31, 2013 AND 2012

FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAGAS

Finding Number	2013-VOSG-004
----------------	---------------

Ohio Revised Code Section 5705.38 (C) requires that for subdivisions other than schools, appropriation measures shall be classified so as to set forth separately the amounts appropriated for each office, department, and division, and, within each, the amount appropriated for personal services.

The Village appropriated at the fund level for all funds for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Failure to appropriate and monitor expenditures at the required legal level of control could lead to ineffective budgetary oversight of expenditures.

We recommend the Village Council approve all annual appropriation measures and amendments as prescribed by the Ohio Revised Code, or consider a charter modification to specify the preferred legal level of control.

<u>Client Response</u>: The Village modified its legal level of control beginning January 1, 2013 to comply with this Ohio Revised Code Section.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

VILLAGE OF SUGAR GROVE

FAIRFIELD COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbett

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

CERTIFIED JULY 10, 2014

> 88 East Broad Street, Fourth Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3506 Phone: 614-466-4514 or 800-282-0370 Fax: 614-466-4490 www.ohioauditor.gov