





#### INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Williams County Public Library Williams County 107 East High Street Bryan, Ohio 43506

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Board of Trustees and the management of Williams County Public Library, Williams County, Ohio, (the Library), on the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the Library's cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 and certain compliance requirements related to those transactions and balances, included in the information provided to us by the management of the Library. The Library is responsible for the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances included in the information provided to us by the Library. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report.

Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

# Cash

- 1. We recalculated the December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We compared the January 1, 2016 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Status Report to the December 31, 2015 balances in the prior year audited statements. We noted the January 1 2016 General Fund balance was \$15 more than the December 31, 2015 balance. The Fiscal Officer added back a check not cashed at December 31, 2015, by adjusting the January 1, 2016 beginning balance. We also compared the January 1, 2017 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Status Report to the December 31, 2016 balances in the Fund Status Report. We noted the January 1, 2017 General Fund balance was \$318 less than the December 31, 2016 balance. We also noted the January 1, 2017 Capital Projects Fund balance was \$407 higher than the December 31, 2016 balance. This was due to the Fiscal Officer correcting posting errors from 2016 by adjusting the January 1, 2017 balance.
- 3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2017 and 2016 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Report. The amounts agreed.
- 4. We observed the year-end bank balances on the financial institution's website. The balances agreed. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2017 bank reconciliation without exception.
- 5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the December 31, 2017 bank reconciliation:
  - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statements. We found no exceptions.

b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. There were no exceptions.

# **Public Library Fund Receipts**

We haphazardly selected two Public Library Fund (PLF) receipts from the County Distribution Transaction Lists from 2017 and two from 2016.

- a. We compared the amount from the County Distribution Transaction Lists to the amount recorded in the Receipt Detail Report. The amounts agreed.
- b. We inspected the Receipt Detail Report and observed these receipts were posted to the General Fund. We found no exceptions.
- c. We inspected the Receipt Register Report and observed the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- d. We inspected the Receipt Detail Report to determine whether it included one PLF\_receipt per month for 2017 and 2016. We found no exceptions.

# **Property Taxes and Intergovernmental Cash Receipts**

- 1. We haphazardly selected a property tax receipt from one *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes* (the Statement) for 2017 and one from 2016:
  - a. We traced the gross receipts from the *Statement* to the amount recorded in the Receipt Detail Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We inspected the Receipt Detail Report to confirm whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year.
- 2. We inspected the Receipt Detail Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2017 and 2016. The Receipt Detail Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
- 3. We selected all receipts from the State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) from 2017 and all from 2016. We also haphazardly selected five receipts from the County Distribution Transaction Lists from 2017 and five from 2016.
  - a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Receipt Detail Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We inspected the Receipt Detail Report to determine that these receipts were allocated to the proper fund. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We inspected the Receipt Detail Report to determine whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

# **Over-The-Counter Cash Receipts**

We haphazardly selected 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2017 and 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2016 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and:

- Agreed the receipt amount to the amount recorded in the Receipt Detail Report. The amounts agreed.
- b. Inspected the Receipt Detail Report to determine the receipt was posted to the proper fund, and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

### Debt

1. The prior audit documentation disclosed no debt outstanding as of December 31, 2016.

 We inquired of management, and inspected the Receipt Detail Report and Payment Register Detail Report for evidence of debt issued during 2017 or 2016 or debt payment activity during 2017 or 2016. There were no new debt issuances, nor any debt payment activity during 2017 or 2016.

# **Payroll Cash Disbursements**

- 1. We haphazardly selected one payroll check for five employees from 2017 and one payroll check for five employees from 2016 from the Employee Detail Adjustment Report and:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Employee Detail Adjustment Report to supporting documentation (timecard or approved salary). We found no exceptions.
  - b. We inspected the fund and account codes to which the check was posted to determine the posting was reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files. We also confirmed the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- 2. For any new employees selected in procedure 1 we inspected the employees' personnel files for the following information and compared it with the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check:
  - a. Name
  - b. Authorized salary or pay rate
  - c. Department and fund to which the check should be charged
  - d. Retirement system participation and payroll withholding
  - e. Federal, State and Local income tax withholding authorization and withholding.
  - f. Any other deduction authorizations (deferred compensation, etc.)

We found no exceptions related to procedures a. – f. above.

3. We inspected the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2017 to confirm remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2017. We observed the following:

| Withholding<br>(plus employer share,<br>where applicable)   | Date<br>Due      | Date<br>Paid      | Amount<br>Due | Amount<br>Paid |
|---|------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Federal income taxes<br>and Medicare<br>(and social security, for<br>employees not enrolled<br>in pension system) | January 31, 2018 | December 21, 2017 | \$5,572.32    | \$5,572.32     |
| State income taxes  | January 16, 2018 | December 21, 2017 | 1,603.87      | 1,603.87       |
| Bryan City  | January 15, 2018 | December 21, 2017 | 1,062.10      | 1,062.10       |
| Edgerton Village  | January 31, 2018 | December 21, 2017 | 111.35        | 111.35         |
| Edon Village  | January 15, 2018 | December 21, 2017 | 321.90        | 321.90         |
| Pioneer Village   | January 15, 2018 | December 21, 2017 | 204.06        | 204.06         |
| Stryker Village   | January 15, 2018 | December 21, 2017 | 263.93        | 263.93         |
| West Unity Village  | January 15, 2018 | December 21, 2017 | 300.89        | 300.89         |
| OPERS retirement  | January 30, 2018 | January 3, 2018   | 32,508.70     | 32,508.70      |

- 4. We haphazardly selected and recomputed one termination payment (unused vacation, etc.) using the following information, and agreed the computation to the amount paid as recorded in the Employee Wage Detail Report:
  - a. Accumulated leave records
  - b. The employee's pay rate in effect as of the termination date
  - c. The Library's payout policy.

The amount paid was consistent with the information recorded in a. through c. above.

# **Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements**

- We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2017 and ten from the year ended December 31, 2016 and determined whether:
  - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
  - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
  - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We inspected the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 and determined that the proceeds from the levy passed under Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.23, were used for the purpose stated in the resolution.

## Compliance – Budgetary

- 1. We compared total appropriations required by Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02, to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report for 2017 and 2016 for the following funds: General Fund and Capital Projects Fund. The amounts on the appropriation resolutions agreed to the amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report, except for the General Fund in 2017 and 2016 and the Capital Projects Fund in 2016. The amounts on the 2016 appropriation resolutions for the General Fund and the Capital Projects Fund were \$2,447,690 and \$25,137. The amounts in the Appropriation Status Report for the General Fund and the Capital Projects Fund were \$2,441,864 and \$26,000. The amount on the 2017 appropriation resolutions for the General Fund was \$3,225,931. The amount in the Appropriation Status Report for the General Fund was \$3,225,611. The Fiscal Officer should periodically compare amounts recorded in the Appropriation Status Report to amounts on the appropriation resolutions to assure they agree. If the amounts do not agree, the Trustees may be using inaccurate information for budgeting and monitoring purposes
- 2. Ohio Admin. Code Section 117-8-02 prohibits spending in excess of budgeted amounts. We compared total expenditures to total appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2017 for the General and the Capital Projects fund, as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. We observed that no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.

#### Other Compliance

Ohio Rev. Code Section 117.38 requires libraries to file their financial information in the HINKLE system within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. This statute also permits the Auditor of State to extend the deadline for filing a financial report and establish terms and conditions for any such extension. Auditor of State established policies, in addition to filing extensions granted for extenuating circumstances, allow for refiling complete financial statements, as defined in AOS Bulletin

2015-007 in the Hinkle System for December 31, 2017 and 2016 fiscal year ends included in 2015-2016 or 2016-2017 agreed up on procedure engagements, subsequent to the Library's deadline where the initial filing was filed on time but incomplete. We confirmed the Library filed their complete financial statements, as defined by AOS Bulletin 2015-007 and Auditor of State established policy within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 in the Hinkle system. There were no exceptions.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively on the Library's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is to provide assistance in the evaluation of the Library's receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances and is not suitable for any other purpose.

Dave Yost Auditor of State

Columbus, Ohio

October 29, 2018





### **WILLIAMS COUNTY PUBLIC LIBRARY**

### **WILLIAMS COUNTY**

# **CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU** 

Susan Babbitt

CERTIFIED NOVEMBER 13, 2018